



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,099	04/01/2005	Patrice Bujard	SE/2-22794/A/PCT	2615
324 CIDA SPECIA	7590 06/26/200° LTY CHEMICALS CO	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEP		ABU ALI, SHUANGYI		
540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, NY 10591-9005	1755		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER
			00/20/2007	DIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,099	BUJARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shuangyi Abu-Ali	1755	

	Shuangyi Abu-Ali	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	and the second second second	20 4 b 4 4 b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			•
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		CC	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(F10/30/00) Fapel 140(5)		
	<i>1</i> 15	(D	
	SUPERVISOR	RENGO ATENT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20070621

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants' amendment which calls for specific metals to be used, raises new issues that requires further search and consideration.

Phillips et al. disclose, "Color shifting pigments or foils are formed from a multilayer thin film structure that includes the same basic layers. These include an absorber layer(s), a dielectric layer(s), and optionally a reflector layer, in varying Layer orders" (col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 1 and Figure 9). "One or more thin film layers including at least the reflector layer is deposited on a web to form a film, which is

Subsequently fractured and removed from the web to form a plurality of pigment

Preflakes. The preflakes can be fragmented further by grinding if desired. The preflake are then coated with the remaining layer or layers" (col. 6, lines 7-12). "The pigment flakes can be further fragmented if desired by, for example, grinding the flakes to a desired size using an air grind, such that each of the pigment flakes has a dimension on any surface thereof ranging from about 2 microns to about 200 microns." (col. 9, lines 45-50). "Generally, the pigment flakes can have an asymmetrical coating structure with all of the layers on one side of the reflector layer" (col. 5, lines 41-45). Therefore Figure 9 can be treated as the structure figure of a pigment.

Phillips et al. disclose "silicon monoxide and silicon dioxide have nominal

1:1 and 1:2 silicon:oxygen ratios, respectively, but the actual silicon:oxygen

ratio of a particular dielectric coating layer varies somewhat from these

nominal values. Such non-stoichiometric dielectric materials are also within

the scope of the present invention." (Col. 7, lines 59-63). Like applicant argues that non-stochiometric silicon oxide is semi-transparent or transparent.

Applicants argue that a coated reflective layer is not part of the instant invention; the examiner respectfully submits that the claims in the instant application are open range claims.